IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 825 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

MEHENDRA MOTILAL

Versus

NATWARLAL MATHURDAS DARJI

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 825 of 1989

MR JT TRIVEDI for Petitioner No. 1

..... for Petitioner No. 2-8

MR RN SHAH for Respondent No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 08/04/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Shah, learned advocate for the respondent

states that he has received an intimation from his client informing him that the matter is settled between the parties. Therefore, he submitted that this revision has become infructuous and is not required to be heard on merits. Mr.Shah has stated that this fact is also informed to Mr.Trivedi, learned advocate for the petitioner.

2) In view of the statement of Mr.Shah, this revision is disposed of, as the same has become infructuous. Rule is discharged. No costs. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

(P.B.Majmudar,J.)

/malek